Caaee441155-cov-001156611-JJSSW/ ID00cumeentt13 Filed 007/1056/105 PPagge1.10683

1	Tanya E. Moore, SBN 206683		
2	MOORE LAW FIRM, P.C. 332 North Second Street		
3	San Jose, California 95112 Telephone (408) 298-2000		
4	Facsimile (408) 298-6046 Email: tanya@moorelawfirm.com		
5	Attorney for Plaintiff		
6	Ruthee Goldkorn		
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	RUTHEE GOLDKORN,) No. 4:15-cv-01561-JSW	
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION FOR LEAVE TO FILE SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT AND	
13	vs.	TO CONTINUE DATES UNDER	
14	CIM OAKLAND CITY CENTER, LLC, dba OAKLAND MARRIOTT CITY CENTER, et) GENERAL ORDER 56; [PROPOSED]) ORDER	
15))	
16	al., Defendants.))	
17	Detendants.))	
18			
19	WHEREAS, Plaintiff, Ruthee Goldkorn ("Plaintiff"), has brought this action against		
20	Defendants, CIM/Oakland City Center, LLC, dba Oakland Marriott City Center ("CIM," and		
21	together with Plaintiff, "the Parties"), and DiNapoli Capital Partners LLC ("DiNapoli")		
22	alleging violations of Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act and in part seeking to		
23	have barriers to her access removed from the Oakland Marriott City Center hotel located at		
24	1001 Broadway in Oakland, California ("the Facility"), and this matter therefore proceeds		
25	under the procedural requirements of General Order 56;		
26	WHEREAS, Defendant DiNapoli was dismissed without prejudice on July 15, 2015		
27	(Dkt. 12);		
28	//		
	STIPULATION FOR LEAVE TO FILE SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT AND TO CONTINUE DATES UNDER GENERAL ORDER 56; [PROPOSED] ORDER		

Casee#1155cov-00156611-JJSW/ IDoocumentt13 Filed007/156/115 Pragge22o683

1	WHEREAS, on April 6, 2015, the Court issued its Scheduling Order for Cases	
2	Asserting Denial of Right of Access under the Americans with Disabilities Act (Dkt. 4) ("the	
3	Scheduling Order");	
4	WHEREAS, pursuant to the Scheduling Order and General Order 56, the last date by	
5	which Plaintiff was to complete service of the complaint on defendants or file a motion for	
6	administrative relief from the service deadline was June 5, 2015, and the last date by which the	
7	parties are to conduct a joint inspection of the subject property is July 20, 2015;	
8	WHEREAS, Plaintiff has recently learned the identity of the true current legal	
9	owner/operator of the Facility, to whom the Facility was transferred during the pendency of	
10	this action, and must now amend her complaint to name this entity as it is solely able to	
11	remove the barriers to access which Plaintiff alleges exist at the Facility;	
12	WHEREAS, this amendment would not be prejudicial to CIM, and is not the product	
13	of undue delay, proposed in bad faith, or futile;	
14	WHEREAS, Plaintiff will thereafter need additional time to serve the new defendant	
15	and to obtain its participation in the joint site inspection required pursuant to General Order 56;	
16	NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the Parties,	
17	through their respective attorneys of record, that Plaintiff may file a Second Amended	
18	Complaint ("SAC"), a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A."	
19	IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED that Plaintiff will file her SAC within five (5)	
20	calendar days of the Court's Order permitting such filing, and that CIM's response thereto	
21	shall be filed within thirty (30) days after the SAC is filed.	
22	IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED that Plaintiff shall complete service of the summons	
23	and SAC on the newly named defendant and shall file a proof of service of summons within	
24	thirty (30) days of the filing of the SAC.	
25	IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED that the joint site inspection required pursuant to	
26	General Order 56 ¶ 3 shall be completed by October 9, 2015, and that all other dates and	
27	deadlines under General Order 56 which are triggered by the joint site inspection shall be	
28	calculated accordingly.	

Caaee441155-cov-001156611-JJSSW/ D000cumeentt13 Filed 007/1056/105 PPagges 3o 683

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
2	Dated: July 15, 2015	MOORE LAW FIRM, P.C.
3		/s/ Tanya E. Moore
4		Tanya E. Moore
5		Attorney for Plaintiff, Ruthee Goldkorn
6	Dated: July 15, 2015	SEYFARTH SHAW LLP
7		/s/ Kristina M. Launey
8		Kristina M. Launey
9		Attorneys for Defendant, CIM/Oakland City Center, LLC
10		dba Oakland Marriott City Center
11		<u>ORDER</u>
12	The Parties having so stipulated and good cause appearing,	
13	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff shall file her Second Amended Complaint,	
14	a copy of which was filed with the Parties' stipulation, within five (5) calendar days of the date	
15	this Order is filed.	
16	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendant CIM/Oakland City Center, LLC, dba	
17	Oakland Marriott City Center's response thereto shall be filed within thirty (30) days after the	
18	Second Amended Complaint is filed.	
19	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff shall complete service of the summons	
20	and Second Amended Complaint on the newly named defendant and shall file a proof of	
21	service of summons within thirty (30) days of the filing of the Second Amended Complaint.	
22	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the joint site inspection required pursuant to	
23	General Order 56 ¶ 3 shall be completed by October 9, 2015, and that all other dates and	
24	deadlines under General Order 56 which are triggered by the joint site inspection shall be	
25	calculated accordingly.	
26	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
27		() Starte
28	Dated: July 16, 2015	United States District Judge
		AMENDED COMPLAINT AND TO CONTINUE DATES

UNDER GENERAL ORDER 56; [PROPOSED] ORDER